#### Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP) Team

#### **Purpose:**

In partnership with the community, the Florida Department of Health in Lake County continued the community-driven strategic planning process of improving health at the August 2018 third quarterly CHIP team meeting.

#### **Accomplishments**

- The CHIP focus was on the 'Check' cycle of PDCA problem-solving model
- The CHIP team reviewed 2017 focus group suggested revisions to the 2017-2020 plan
- The CHIP team identified the strengths and weaknesses of 8 of 12 evidencedbased practice recommendations and proposed plan revisions for 2018
- Focus groups were given the option of continuing work on their action plan for the remainder of the 2018 calendar year

### **Participation**

- Attendance
  - o Total of 32 attendees
    - 23 community members
    - 6 FDOH staff
    - 3 volunteers
- Representation
  - 20 unduplicated Lake County organizations
  - o 15% new individuals/organizations

Organization Type	#	Organization Type	#
Federal or State Agencies and Programs	8	Social Service Facilities and Service Providers	4
Schools, Colleges and Universities	1	Philanthropic and Health Financing Organizations	3
Mental Health Facilities and Service Providers	0	Media Outlets	0
Hospitals and Emergency Medical Service	3	Healthcare Facilities and Service Providers	4
Providers			
Elected Officials	1	County Government, Municipalities and Townships	1
Coalitions, Councils and Advocacy Groups	3	Citizens	4

#### **Community Feedback**

- Engaged dialogue from team members but ran out of time
- Evaluation, on a scale of 1-5
  - 4.4 overall score
    - Organization, 4.5
    - Facilitation, 4.6
    - Format, 4.2
    - Opportunity to provide input, 4.7
    - Opportunity to learn, 4.0





Focus Topic: OBESITY

Recommendation: **KEEP IN PLAN** 

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES
	ERAL
<ul> <li>Increases in awareness is expected</li> <li>Expected outcome is behavior change</li> <li>Agency resources could be pooled/Shared cost between agencies</li> <li>Opportunity to coordinate campaign strategies/ Messages could be reinforced and consistent across the county</li> <li>Specific generations can be targeted depending on media used</li> <li>Use of volunteers is possible to help defray costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There are not a lot of Lake County-specific media outlets</li> <li>Activities may be expensive/cost prohibitive</li> <li>There are ongoing and potential long-term costs of continuing a campaign</li> <li>Lake County geography may not be conducive</li> <li>Campaign should be conducted in multiple languages, increasing costs</li> <li>Some populations may be hard to reach/Suburban vs. rural areas</li> <li>May not affect self-motivation/Knowing vs. doing</li> <li>Campaigns may only actually reach 1-2% of overall county population</li> <li>A specific activity "Call to Action" would be needed for campaign</li> </ul>
TOPIC-S	PECIFIC
<ul> <li>Developing additional partnerships (use of schools and employers) could expand reach of campaign</li> <li>Messaging may be effective at creating changes in health behaviors physical activity and nutrition</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi-faceted problem/There is no one action needed for obesity</li> <li>Obesity is a challenge for all population, but campaign reach may be low/Low rate of behavior change</li> <li>Knowledge about obesity is already available/Perception that everyone already knows behavior changes needed</li> </ul>

Focus Topic: **SUBSTANCE ABUSE**Recommendation: **KEEP IN PLAN** 

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
GENERAL		
<ul> <li>Increases in awareness is expected</li> <li>Expected outcome is behavior change</li> <li>Agency resources could be pooled/Shared cost between agencies</li> <li>Opportunity to coordinate campaign strategies/ Messages could be reinforced and consistent across the county</li> <li>Specific generations can be targeted depending on media used</li> <li>Use of volunteers is possible to help defray costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There are not a lot of Lake County-specific media outlets</li> <li>Activities may be expensive/cost prohibitive</li> <li>There are ongoing and potential long-term costs of continuing a campaign</li> <li>Lake County geography may not be conducive</li> <li>Campaign should be conducted in multiple languages, increasing costs</li> <li>Some populations may be hard to reach/ Suburban vs. rural areas</li> <li>May not affect selfmotivation/Knowing vs. doing</li> <li>Campaigns may only actually reach 1-2% of overall county population</li> <li>A specific activity "Call to Action" would be needed for campaign</li> </ul>	
TOPIC-S	l SPECIFIC	
<ul> <li>Education campaign about available resources could target families and caregivers</li> <li>Creates issue awareness</li> <li>May change perception/destigmatize issue</li> <li>Could focus on consequences of use/abuse</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi-faceted problem/Would be hard to individualize message to specific audiences</li> <li>May not reach target audience</li> <li>Does not address treatment or underlying causes</li> <li>May not include broad representation</li> </ul>	

Focus Topic: ACCESS TO FOOD & NUTRITION Recommendation: ELIMINATE FROM PLAN

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
GENI	ERAL	
<ul> <li>Increases in awareness is expected</li> <li>Expected outcome is behavior change</li> <li>Agency resources could be pooled/Shared cost between agencies</li> <li>Opportunity to coordinate campaign strategies/ Messages could be reinforced and consistent across the county</li> <li>Specific generations can be targeted depending on media used</li> <li>Use of volunteers is possible to help defray costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There are not a lot of Lake County-specific media outlets</li> <li>Activities may be expensive/cost prohibitive</li> <li>There are ongoing and potential long-term costs of continuing a campaign</li> <li>Lake County geography may not be conducive</li> <li>Campaign should be conducted in multiple languages, increasing costs</li> <li>Some populations may be hard to reach/Suburban vs. rural areas</li> <li>May not affect selfmotivation/Knowing vs. doing</li> <li>Campaigns may only actually reach 1-2% of overall county population</li> <li>A specific activity "Call to Action" would be needed for campaign</li> </ul>	
TOPIC-SPECIFIC		
TOTIO-SELOTIO		
<ul> <li>Could increase awareness of food resources</li> <li>Could focus on nutrition</li> <li>May help describe or highlight issue in community</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not address cost as a barrier to good nutrition</li> <li>May work in opposition to product advertising campaigns</li> <li>Would not directly impact access</li> </ul>	

Focus Topic: Diabetes
Recommendation: KEEP IN PLAN

Nederline II de la		
STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
GENERAL		
<ul> <li>Increases in awareness is expected</li> <li>Expected outcome is behavior change</li> <li>Agency resources could be pooled/Shared cost between agencies</li> <li>Opportunity to coordinate campaign strategies/ Messages could be reinforced and consistent across the county</li> <li>Specific generations can be targeted depending on media used</li> <li>Use of volunteers is possible to help defray costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>There are not a lot of Lake County-specific media outlets</li> <li>Activities may be expensive/cost prohibitive</li> <li>There are ongoing and potential long-term costs of continuing a campaign</li> <li>Lake County geography may not be conducive</li> <li>Campaign should be conducted in multiple languages, increasing costs</li> <li>Some populations may be hard to reach/Suburban vs. rural areas</li> <li>May not affect selfmotivation/Knowing vs. doing</li> <li>Campaigns may only actually reach 1-2% of overall county population</li> <li>A specific activity "Call to Action" would be needed for campaign</li> </ul>	
TOPIC-SPECIFIC		
<ul> <li>Could target preventative action</li> <li>"Know Your Status"-type campaign seems attainable</li> <li>Promotion of risk evaluation could be a message reinforced by medical provider community</li> </ul>	Multi-faceted problem/Increased screening for diabetes may not work if access or systems for care are not also present	

### Technology-Supported Multicomponent Coaching or Counseling Interventions to Reduce Weight

Focus Topic: OBESITY

Recommendation: **ELIMINATE FROM PLAN** 

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
GENERAL		
<ul> <li>Coaching or counseling could be tailored to the individual</li> <li>Convenience of getting coaching or counseling service without travel</li> <li>Creates and expands access points for service</li> <li>Long-term cost savings possible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires specific technological knowledge, skills, and abilities</li> <li>Clients or providers may not have the resources for intervention</li> <li>Clients or providers may not have the time for extended coaching or counseling</li> <li>Not all target audiences use or have access to technologies</li> <li>May be cost prohibitive and/or may not be a reimbursable expense from third-party payors</li> <li>Unsure of whether clients prefer this type of coaching or counseling</li> <li>Perception that technology is too interpersonal</li> <li>Technology used would need to be researched/evaluated- selected, standardized, and secured, adding additional costs</li> <li>May be a duplication of existing efforts if not linked with medical provider community</li> </ul>	

### Changes in Access and Availability to Favor Healthy Foods and Beverages

Focus Topic: OBESITY

Recommendation: **KEEP IN PLAN** 

STRENGTHS	WEAKNESSES	
GENERAL		
Supported by many other community efforts, existing resources, and activities	<ul> <li>Changes in access and availability to healthy foods will not eliminate access and availability/Does not address personal motivation to change behavior</li> <li>Perception that there is not enough education on how to eat or prepare healthy food even if access and availability improves</li> </ul>	